

# Open Chemical Systems Theory and Its Implications to Darwinian Evolutionary Dynamics, Complex Self-Organization and Beyond\*

Hong Qian

Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Washington  
Seattle, WA 98195-2420

February 27, 2013

## Abstract

The study of biological cells as a nonequilibrium, nonlinear, stochastic open chemical systems provides a paradigm for other complex, self-organizing systems with short-time deterministic and long-time evolutionary dynamics.

## 1 Introduction

Post-genomic biology can only be fully understood from a combining cellular-molecular and evolutionary perspective. This is an integration of extremely small and extremely large scale dynamics, in space and time, with significant heterogeneity in the system. It is arguable that this is a challenge any open-system has to confront: Environmental influence on the system at a large scale is felt, to a significant degree, by most of the small components inside the system. Interestingly, the fundamental issues in both cellular and evolutionary dynamics are now firmed cast in terms of stochastic mathematics. While the field of stochastic dynamics has more than 100 years of history, starting with Einstein’s 1905 study of Brownian motion and Langevin’s 1908 proposal of a stochastic differential equation, we still know very little about it beyond the existence proofs and formal constructions. Applied stochastic dynamics, as a mathematical tool, is in a rather primitive state compared to our deeper understanding of the deterministic dynamics that is at the foundation of 300 years of Newton-Laplace’s world view. An applied stochastic dynamic theory with in-depth understanding of the interaction between deterministic (i.e., bias) and stochastic (i.e., random) elements is urgently needed for the progress of biology, and the understanding of other open systems.

---

\*This article was submitted as a position paper to ISTAR-NSF-NSA Workshop on “Mathematical Foundations of Open Systems” held at University of Pennsylvania from May 23rd–25th, 2010. See <http://www.istar.upenn.edu/osw/>

In other words, we are particularly interested in how the stochastic random motion is “coupled” to deterministic, often nonlinear, dynamics. When one observes a noisy oscillation, is it a limit cycle with some noise, or a rotational random walk? This led us to being interested in the concept of entropy in stochastic dynamics. We were able to show that the entire statistical thermodynamic structure, with the core concepts like entropy and free energy and the Second Law, is in fact a mathematical one — the molecular thermal physics turns out to be one example. One can equally develop a “themo”-dynamic theory for stochastic cellular processes, for evolutionary dynamics, and for dynamics of other open-systems.

In this document, I shall first give a brief introduction to the current status of the open-chemical system theory. Then I shall discuss a few topics which I believe deserve further development.

## 2 What is the Open Chemical Systems Theory?

One can leave a chemical reaction system alone, and see what happens: It goes through a transient process with the concentrations of the chemicals changing with time, known as relaxation kinetics. Eventually, it settles in a chemical equilibrium. If the system is sufficiently small, then one can even observe the concentrations continuously fluctuate with time, but all their statistics are time invariant [1, 2]. We call this state equilibrium steady state.

A living cell as a biochemical system, to a first order approximation, is in a steady state, known as homeostasis [3]. But it is not in an equilibrium: One has to continuously change the medium in which a cell situates. The medium has to contain “high calorie” chemicals as food to a cell which returns its “waste product” to the medium. So how to rigorously define such a chemical state of a system which is *open to exchange with its surrounding* [4]? This is the motivation for developing the open chemical system theory [5, 6, 7]. Such a system is called a *nonequilibrium steady state* (NESS); it is an example of the *self-organizing dissipative structures* [8]. With the stochastic dynamic perspective, one immediately realizes that deterministic periodic chemical oscillation is an NESS [9]!

Chemical fluxes are continuously going through such systems. And because of the presence of nonlinear chemical reactions, these fluxes are coupled to internal (bio)chemical reactions. Therefore, according to Kirchhoff’s law there will be cycle fluxes in an NESS [10]. A deep mathematical theorem shows that, in a large class of stochastic systems, a cycle flux exists if and only if the rate of entropy production is positive [11]. In fact, when some of the cycle fluxes are sufficiently strong, a macroscopic oscillation emerges [12].

The existence of the cycle flux turns out to be a fundamental property of open chemical systems. It is intimately related to the breakdown of the *detailed balance*. It is mathematically related to non-self-adjoint operators. The presence of cycle flux also indicates that the stationary probability distribution in one part of the system can be influenced by another part far away, thus the dynamics is nonlocal [This is not possible for a system with detailed balance which yields Boltzmann’s Law.] Even more important: a strong flux is associated with a more “deterministic kind of” motion (breaking a symmetry). Hence, a strongly driven chemical system can exhibit very regular dynamics. Energy can suppress fluctuations in small open chemical systems, making them behave like a little machine.

In the past several years, we have shown that almost every biochemically important cellular function is intimately related to the open chemical system setting, and correlated with the energy expenditure associated with the system. This include kinetic proofreading [13, 14, 15], cellular signal switch [16], and fidelity in DNA replication [17]. Another significant insight is the emergence of chemical bistability. In stochastic dynamic term, this is characterized by stationary probability (density) function exhibiting multiple peaks. We say there are multiple stochastic attractors. These attractors are emergent properties of the system dynamics. Often but not always, they are the stochastic counterparts of the deterministic stable attractors [18]. These attractors defines an emergent, discrete multi-state stochastic dynamics on an entirely different time scale: A *cellular evolution time scale* emerge. Therefore, the open chemical system model is able to conceptually bridge the detailed biochemical reactions to the emergent cellular dynamics that reflects differentiation, apoptosis, and epigenetics [19]! Furthermore, it can be shown that there is also an emergent “landscape” [20], akin to the adaptive landscape in evolution theory. But this landscape is nonlocal, itself is an emergent property, and its predictive power is, in some sense, only *retrospective*.

### 3 Nonequilibrium Steady-State, Emergent Landscape and Flux Field in Open Systems

The concept of *nonequilibrium steady state*, also known as *nonequilibrium stationary state*, is one of the central concepts in studies of open systems. It deserves focused and in-depth investigations. Note that for a large class of stochastic dynamics, there is a unique, asymptotically attractive stationary process (invariant measure): The system is always self-organizing. In statistical physics and related mathematical theory of interacting particle systems, related research has been pursued for many years. However, in the past, the emphases have been on the system’s infinite size limit but assuming significant “homogeneity”. What has not been widely studied is stochastic processes with NESS. The technical difficulties are mainly in the nonsymmetric nature of the process, which made even the mathematical existence proof impossible. The recent exciting development of *fluctuation theorem* is precisely along this line [21]. It is an important first step. Interestingly, this result is large unknown to mathematicians working in the stochastic processes [22].

Using stochastic differential equation with small noise ( $\epsilon$ ) as a model system, it will be important to further explore the connection between the NESS invariant density  $f_\epsilon^{ss}(x)$  and its  $\epsilon$ -dependence, and its Lyapunov property in the corresponding deterministic dynamics. Note that while the support of  $f_\epsilon^{ss}(x)$  is highly singular with Dirac measure in the limit of  $\epsilon$  tending zero, the quantity

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \epsilon \log f_\epsilon^{ss}(x)$$

often is better behaved with full support in the space. This line of research is intimately related to the deep theory developed by Freidlin and Wentzell [23]. This theory needed to be simplified and delivered to the hands of broader applied mathematicians and scientists.

One should not forget, however, that the landscape [20], and the stationary distribution, is only half of the characterization of the system. The NESS is also characterized by its flux field  $\mathbf{J}$  which is divergent free  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} = 0$ . Our understanding of this aspect of stochastic dynamics is still missing

[24]. In fact, a cogent stochastic interpretation of the  $\mathbf{J}$  is still elusive. Nevertheless, the  $\mathbf{J}$  gives the “dynamical information” of an open system in its NESS.

There seems to be a deep connection between this line of inquiry and the theory of Hodge decomposition, and algebraic topology [25].

## 4 The Thermodynamic Structure of Stochastic Dynamical Systems

Historically, thermodynamics has been one of the most important organizational principles for systems with large number of atoms and molecules, systems considered to be complex mainly due to its large number of components. When a system has a large number of components, especially when all the components are not homogeneous, the interactions between components can only be characterized in a statistical sense. In fact, only statistical characterization is meaningful. We now realize that the thermodynamics is not just a physical theory about atoms and molecules. Rather, any stochastic system characterized in terms of Markov dynamics possesses a “thermo”dynamic structure. Since this theory has nothing to do with the temperature *per se*, we put the “thermo” in the quotation marks [28]. In an essence, one has resolved what Gian-Carlo Rota considered “a standing between physicists and mathematicians that thermodynamics cannot be axiomatized” [29].

There are two inter-related threads in this general theory: One centers around relative entropy, which is intimately related to the free energy in Gibbs’ statistical mechanics  $F$  [26, 27]. An important fact about  $F$  is  $dF/dt = -f_d(t) \leq 0$ . Another thread centered around Gibbs-Shannon entropy  $S$ , which satisfies a conservation law  $dS/dt = e_p(t) - h_d(t)$ . An important fact here is  $e_p(t) \geq 0$ .  $f_d$ ,  $e_p$ , and  $h_d$  are called free energy dissipation rate, entropy production rate, and heat dissipation rate.

For Markov systems with detailed balance which correspond to closed systems:  $f_d(t) = e_p(t)$ . Furthermore, in the long time limit,  $f_d = e_p = h_d = 0$ . This is an equilibrium steady state (with fluctuations).

For Markov systems without detailed balance, which correspond to open systems:  $e_p(t) = f_d(t) + Q_{hk}(t)$  where  $Q_{hk}(t) \geq 0$  also.  $Q_{hk}$ , called house-keeping heat, characterizes the amount of energy expenditure (i.e., a battery) that sustains the system away from equilibrium. In the long time limit,  $f_d = 0$  but  $e_p = Q_{hk} = h_d > 0$ . The entropy production rate  $e_p$  characterizes total irreversibility: It has two distinctly different origins: The system’s spontaneous relaxation (organization)  $f_d$  and the external environmental drive  $Q_{hk}$  [28].

This theory has only been presented in an applied mathematics style. Further theoretical mathematic analysis is necessary on the subject, as well as applications using this structure to gain further understanding of the stochastic dynamics. In addition, issues such as “principle of maximal entropy production” and related issues have never been seriously investigated with mathematical rigor, even though they are actively discussed in applied fields such as climate science and ecology [30, 31, 32], as well as in high-profile headlines [33].

## 5 Investigation and Characterization of Complex Systems and Their Dynamics in terms of Nonlinear, Stochastic Models

The Secs. 3 and 4 set up the fundamental mathematics for studying complex, open systems dynamics. This approach has shown great promises and has provided some powerful novel ideas, as well as deeper understanding of the open chemical systems. Whether this approach can be applied to other open systems in biology, in economics, and beyond remains to be investigated. One of the particular advantages of chemical systems is the existence of the theory of *chemical master equation*. This is the stochastic generalization of the Law of Mass Action in classical, nonlinear chemical kinetics. It is the combination of the stochastic framework above and this particular version of stochastic chemical dynamics that has offered us the insights on open chemical systems through concrete mathematical models.

Applying this line of approach to other systems is essential to extend our understanding of open systems, and all the issues interested by this workshop. In the past, this line of research has been carried out under different headings. One of them is the area of *stochastic resonance* (SR). Indeed, SR has offered a great deal to our current understanding of the important issues. But one needs to broaden the scope: As we have pointed out, “oscillations” in an NESS is are necessity; It should not considered as a peculiar type of behavior.

In an even broader, but speculative direction, it has not been lost on us that the eigenvalues of the nonsymmetric diffusion process can be complex. The imaginary part dictates a “wave-like” behavior in dynamics, as is well understood from Schrödinger’s equation. Consider a scenario in which the real parts of the eigenvalues are sufficiently small compared with the imaginary parts. Then in a quasi-stationary approximation, the dynamics can be treated as a deterministic wave phenomenon [34].

## 6 Development and Education of Stochastics in Applied Mathematics and beyond Brownian Motion

There is no doubt that the Brownian motion is one of the most important aspects of stochastic dynamics in continuous space and time. It offers a complete departure from Newton-Laplace’s classic view of a “smooth world”, and has yielded deep insights in connection to geometry and other branches of high mathematics, as recognized by the Fields’ medals in 2006.

Still, by completely focusing on this aspect of stochastic dynamics, our understanding of a general stochastic dynamical system, which contains both stochastic and nonlinear deterministic elements, is embarrassingly rudimentary. In particular, most mathematics are built around the well-studied *symmetric Markov processes*, which have corresponding self-adjoint linear operators.

As we have mentioned above, open-systems, when represented in terms of Markov processes, are precisely nonsymmetric processes. This is one of the lessons we learned from the open-chemical systems theory. The nonsymmetry can be characterized by a quantity called *entropy production*. For discrete-state Markov processes, the symmetric processes are equivalent to Kolmogorov’s cycle condition [35]. Concepts such as the cycle condition and detailed balance had

all been independently discovered in chemistry: Wegscheider's relation in 1901 [36] and detailed balance, by G.N. Lewis, in 1925 [37].

Compared to the college education of deterministic mathematics, the stochastic mathematics is also embarrassingly behind. Here is an example: Many of my third-year students, while have the notion of a random variable  $X$  with probability density function  $f_X(x)$ , have never learned, or are able to work out, how to compute the distribution of  $Y = g(X)$ , assuming  $g$  is a monotonic function! But there is no reason for this: The stochastic mathematics is not intrinsically harder, if one only deals with discrete events. In particular, with the power of computing, one should be able to teach stochastic thinking to first-year students, in science, engineering, economics, and social science. We need to have accessible educational programs. Here I emphasize stochastic thinking as a distinctly different kind from the statistical thinking. It is mechanistic driven rather than data driven.

Finally, I would like to state that the stochastic dynamical theory is not an alternative to the deterministic one. It is a more complete description of the nature which is capable of representing systems with and without fluctuations.

## References

- [1] Magde, D., Elson, E.L. and Webb, W.W. (1972) Thermodynamic fluctuations in a reacting system: Measurement by fluorescence correlation spectroscopy. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 29:705–708.
- [2] Feher, G. and Weissman, M. (1973) Fluctuation spectroscopy: Determination of chemical reaction kinetics from the frequency spectrum of fluctuations. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 70:870-875.
- [3] Schultz, S.G. (1986) Homeostasis, humpty dumpty, and integrative biology. *Physiology*, 11:238–246.
- [4] von Bertalanffy, L. (1950) The theory of open systems in physics and biology. *Science*, 111:23–29.
- [5] Qian, H. and Beard, D.A. (2005) Thermodynamics of stoichiometric biochemical networks in living systems far from equilibrium (Review). *Biophys. Chem.* 114:213-220.
- [6] Qian, H. (2006) Open-system nonequilibrium steady-state: Statistical thermodynamics, fluctuations and chemical oscillations (Feature Article). *J. Phys. Chem. B* 110:15063–15074.
- [7] Qian, H. (2007) Phosphorylation energy hypothesis: Open chemical systems and their biological functions. *Ann. Rev. Phys. Chem.* 58:113–142.
- [8] Nicolis, G. and Prigogine, I. (1977) *Self-organization in Nonequilibrium Systems*; John-Wiley & Sons: New York.
- [9] Qian, H., Saffarian, S. and Elson, E.L. (2002) Concentration fluctuations in a mesoscopic oscillating chemical reaction system. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 99:10376–10381.

- [10] Hill, T.L. (1989) *Free Energy Transduction and Biochemical Cycle Kinetics*. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [11] Jiang, D.-Q., Qian, M. and Qian, M.-P. (2005) *Mathematical Theory of Nonequilibrium Steady-state*. LNM Vol 1833; Springer: New York.
- [12] Ge, H., Qian, H. and Qian, M. (2008) Synchronized dynamics and nonequilibrium steady states in a stochastic yeast cell-cycle network. *Math. Biosci.* 211:132–152.
- [13] Hopfield, J.J. (1974) Kinetic proofreading: A new mechanism for reducing errors in biosynthetic processes requiring high specificity. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 71:4135–4139.
- [14] Ninio, J. (1975) Kinetic amplification of enzyme discrimination. *Biochimie* 57:587–595.
- [15] Qian, H. (2006) Reducing intrinsic biochemical noise in cells and its thermodynamic limit. *J. Mol. Biol.* 362:387–392.
- [16] Qian, H. and Cooper, J.A. (2008) Temporal cooperativity and sensitivity amplification in biological signal transduction. *Biochem.* 47:2211–2220.
- [17] Cady, F. and Qian, H. (2009) Open-system thermodynamic analysis of DNA polymerase fidelity. *Phys. Biol.* 6:036011.
- [18] Kauffman, S.A. *The Origins of Order: Self-Organization and Selection in Evolution*. Oxford Univ. Press, U.K.
- [19] Liang, J. and Qian, H. (2010) Computational cellular dynamics based on the chemical master equation: A challenge for understanding complexity. (Review) *J. Computer Sci. Tech.* 25:154–168.
- [20] Wolynes, P.G. (2001) Landscapes, funnels, glasses, and folding: From metaphor to software. *Proc. Am. Phil. Soc.* 145:555–563.
- [21] Seavick, E.M., Prabhakar, R., Williams, S.R. and Searles, D.J. (2008) Fluctuation theorems. *Ann. Rev. Phys. Chem.* 59:603–633.
- [22] Chetrite, R. and Gawedzki, K. (2008) Fluctuation relations for diffusion processes. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 282:469–518.
- [23] Freidlin, M.I. and Wentzell, A.D. (1984) *Random perturbations of dynamical systems*. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [24] Wang, J., Xu, L. and Wang, E. (2008) Potential landscape and flux framework of nonequilibrium networks: Robustness, dissipation, and coherence of biochemical oscillations. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 105:12271–12276.
- [25] Kalpazidou, S.L. (1994) *Cycle Representation of Markov Processes*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.

- [26] Cover, T.M. and Thomas, J.A. (1991) *Elements of Information Theory*, John-Wiley Pub., New York.
- [27] Mackey, M.C. (1992) *Time's Arrow: The Origin of Thermodynamic Behavior*. Springer, New York.
- [28] Ge, H. and Qian, H. (2010) The physical origins of entropy production, free energy dissipation and their mathematical representations. Preprint: <http://arxiv.org/abs/0911.3984>
- [29] Rota, G.-C. and Palombi, F. (1996) *Indiscrete Thoughts*, Birkhäuser, Boston. p. 229.
- [30] Dewar, R.C. (2005) Maximum entropy production and the fluctuation theorem. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* 38:L371-L381.
- [31] Martyusheva, L.M. and Seleznevb, V.D. (2005) Maximum entropy production principle in physics, chemistry and biology. *Phys. Rep.* 426:1–45.
- [32] Paltridge, G.W., Farquhar, G.D. and Cuntz, M. (2007) Maximum entropy production, cloud feedback, and climate change. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 34:L14708.
- [33] Whitfield, J. (2005) Complex systems: Order out of chaos. *Nature*, 436:905-907.
- [34] Qian, H. and Qian, M. (2000) Pumped biochemical reactions, nonequilibrium circulation, and stochastic resonance. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 84:2271-2274.
- [35] Kolmogorov, A.N. (1931) Über die analytischen Methoden in der Wahrscheinlichkeitsrechnung (On analytical methods in probability theory). *Mathematische Annalen* 104:415–458.
- [36] Wegscheider, R. (1901) Über simultane Gleichgewichte und die beziehungen zwischen Thermodynamik und Reaktionskinetik (On simultaneous equilibria and the relations between thermodynamics and kinetics). *Zeitschrift für Physikalische Chemie* 39:257-303.
- [37] Lewis, G.N. (1925) A new principle of equilibrium. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 11:179–183.